



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/514,489	(	02/29/2000	Somnath Banik	BANIK 2-73	2128	
47396	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER		
HITT GAINES, PC				NGUYEN, TU X		
AGERE SY	STEMS IN	NC.			<del>,</del> .	
PO BOX 832570				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RICHARDSON TX 75083				2684	"	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/514,489	BANIK ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tu X Nguyen	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriate of the fee.	ion. See MPEP  opriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) wild be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	ınd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)  disapproved by th	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
10.	,						
•							

Applicant argues that a base station does not use VOX since the second embodiment adjusts a base station. Kato discloses "adjusts the base station so that it will perform VOX control. Thus, down-link packet data communication can be pwrformed by using a channel under the VOX control by perfoming VOX control at the base station, as well as mobile voice station b" (see col.8 lines 40-45), thus the control according to the present invention can be performed even on the cordless (see col.9 lines 35-36).

3/4/06

571-272-7883

MARAN F. URBAND

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2830